

Questions from Jan 24, 2013 GBF/HARC Public Meeting

Who owned the waste pits site and where did the waste come from?

McGinnes Industrial Maintenance Corp (MIMC) purchased and used the pits at the Site for storage of waste sludge from Champion Paper Co., located in Pasadena, Texas. Champion Paper was purchased by International Paper (IP), and the Responsible Parties are MIMC and IP.

Will dioxin kill grass or trees?

Based on the extensive vegetation that was growing on top of the waste pits before the cap was installed, it is unlikely that the dioxin would kill grass or trees.

There are lots of private wells, how do you know there is no contamination in the groundwater?

Groundwater samples have been collected as part of this project, and the only evidence of contamination in groundwater was in the samples collected from the water immediately below the pits. However, there have been reports of metals contamination in local wells that appear to be unrelated to the site. The EPA is initiating an investigation of these reports separately from the San Jacinto Waste Pits investigation.

Why is Harris County suing if everyone is safe? We want to understand the lawsuit.

[I'll let Harris County answer this one themselves]

If we are all safe, why are there signs posted?

It is not safe to come in direct contact with the waste, and is important that the cap remains undisturbed. The fencing and signage is important to make sure that the cap remains undisturbed so that there is no direct exposure to the waste. It is also not safe to consume fish at levels above the fish advisory. Thus it is important to have signs to let people know about the fish advisories.

Was the waste in the waste pits ONLY paper mill waste?

There is only paper mill waste in the northern impoundment. In the Southern Impoundment there has been other debris identified along with paper mill waste.

Why hasn't the south pit area been fenced off?

The most immediate hazard was related to the northern impoundment. The Southern Impoundment is currently under investigation and fencing along with other remedial measures will be evaluated in the Feasibility Study scheduled for completion in Fall 2013.

How do we get people to stop fishing?/ Why can't we ban all fishing?

It is currently illegal to harvest clams and other mollusks from the site. The concentrations on contaminants identified in the other species are not high enough to allow the Texas Department of Health Services (TDHS) to ban fishing in the area. Instead, they have implemented advisories based on their statewide guidelines. We have provided information on the fish advisories in the area, along with signage so that people can make informed choices. However, there is no regulatory mechanism to prevent people from choosing to ignore the warnings. Public input and ideas on how to effectively communicate the advisory information and the risks associated with ignoring the advisory would be appreciated.

How do I find out more about the Patrick Bayou site?

A summary of the site that was updated in December 2012 is located at:

<http://www.epa.gov/earth1r6/6sf/pdf/files/patrick-bayou-tx.pdf>

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Potentially Responsible Parties (PRPs) have completed negotiations, and have entered into an Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) to conduct the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) for the Site. The purpose of the RI/FS is to determine the nature and extent of contamination and to gather sufficient information about the Site to support an informed risk management decision regarding which remedy is the most appropriate for the Site. Shell, Lubrizol Corp., and Occidental Chemical Corp, are the PRPs and have agreed to perform the RI/FS at the site. Several "rounds" of sampling have been completed. The EPA and TCEQ (as well as numerous trustees) have completed the review of the "Sediment and Surface Water COPC Delineation Data Report; and this report has now been finalized. The draft version of both the Ecological Risk Assessment and the Human Health Risk Assessment is currently being reviewed.

Site Repository: Deer Park Public Library, 3009 Center St., Deer Park, TX 77536

Site Contacts

EPA Remediation Project Manager:	Philip Allen	(214) 665-8516
State Project Manager:	Danielle Sattman Soule	(512) 239-0158
EPA Community Involvement:	Jason McKinney	(214) 665-8132
EPA Regional Public Liaison:	Donn R. Walters	(214) 665-6483
EPA Site Attorney:	Anne Foster	(214) 665-2169
EPA Toll-Free Telephone Number:		(800) 533-3508

What is going on with the PCBs and other contaminants as part of the TMDL process?

Investigations are still underway. You can learn more at the Houston-Galveston Area Council (HGAC) website: <http://www.h-gac.com/community/water/tmdl/hsc-ugb/default.aspx>

Why isn't the river fenced off so that people can't get there?

Fencing in the area of the Southern Impoundment will be evaluated as part of the Feasibility Study. For the river as a whole, fishing is not illegal, there are only fish consumption advisories. The concentrations of contaminants in fish caught near the sites is not appreciably different than the concentrations in the other parts of the river that also have fish consumption advisories, because of the wide range where individual fish will swim.

What is being done about new spills?

There are very few new spills, most of the contamination in the river is from releases from many years ago. New spills have reporting requirements, and cleanup activities are overseen by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.

How long will it take before the fish are safe to eat?

The contamination in the fish is related to both the San Jacinto Waste Pits site and other sources. The intention of the TMDL process is to create a plan to address the contamination, but system wide solutions are complex and will likely take several years.

What's being done about all the other sources of contamination?

The TMDL process seeks to identify sources of contamination.

Why can't they warn people in seafood restaurants?

Seafood in restaurants can come from a wide variety of sources, and [could use the health dept input on this one...are there rules around things like this?]

Did some dioxins come from chemical plants?

All of the sources of dioxin are not known. Sources of dioxin include [insert information from Linda's presentation]

What is going on with the south impoundment?

The investigation of the southern impoundment is on-going. The investigation may not be completed by the time the Remedial Investigation (RI) Report for the northern impoundments is complete. If this happens, the results for the Southern impoundment investigation will be presented in an addendum to the RI report, which will be completed before the Feasibility Study is finalized.

What about all the sediment/sand that was dredged and reused in the past?

It is difficult to track the final locations of these dredge materials. The materials were typically washed, with the sand being reused. Most of the dioxin probably remained with the fine material that was washed out of the sand. [Linda had more on this, but I don't remember]

What are the risks of eating fish collected from near the waste pits vs. the risks from fish caught in the rest of the bay?

The fish in the area typically have a range of _____. The contaminant concentrations in the fish are similar throughout the entire advisory area.

Is there a representative from the county to discuss the Harris County Lawsuit?

[Harris Co]